# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION

FRANK FALCO and ROBERTA J. PRETE,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CASE NO.: 99-1178-CI-20

W.R. GRACE & CO., a Florida corporation, TIMOTHY L. FISHER and THOMAS J. MOMMAERTS,

Defendants.

#### **MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS**

Defendant, THOMAS J. MOMMAERTS, hereinafter MOMMAERTS, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this Motion to Stay Proceedings, and, in support hereof, states as follows:

- 1. At the time of the accident described in Plaintiffs' complaint, MOMMAERTS was employed by W.R. Grace & Co, hereinafter W.R. Grace. Plaintiffs further allege that at the time of the accident, MOMMAERTS was acting in the course and scope of his employment.
- 2. W.R. Grace was also named as a Defendant. W.R. Grace filed for bankruptcy protection pursuant to Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, and counsel for W.R. Grace herein filed in this action a Suggestion of Bankruptcy. Plaintiffs subsequently dismissed W.R. Grace as a party and elected to pursue its claims against the remaining Defendants, one of whom was MOMMAERTS.

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 16724-2 Filed 08/31/07 Page 2 of 11

3. However, Plaintiffs claims against MOMMAERTS are barred or otherwise enjoined

pursuant to an Order Granting Modified Preliminary Injunction entered by the Honorable Judith K.

Fitzgerald, United States Bankruptcy Judge. A copy of this Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "A"

and is incorporated herein by reference. More specifically, the attached Order stays and enjoins the

prosecution of all action against former employees of W.R. Grace. MOMMAERTS is clearly a

former employee of W.R. Grace.

By virtue of the Order of Judge Fitzgerald, this Court should enter an Order staying or

otherwise abating this action against MOMMAERTS. Further, this Court entered an Order of

Referral of Mediation, and MOMMAERTS should be relieved of any obligation to attend or

otherwise participate in mediation because of the Order entered by Judge Fitzgerald. Additionally,

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion to Set this cause for trial and MOMMAERTS should similarly be

relieved of any obligation or responsibility to participate in any trial of this cause.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, THOMAS J. MOMMAERTS, respectfully requests that this

Court enter an Order consistent with this Motion and for any other relief that this Court finds just

and proper.

FORIZS & DOGALI, P.L.

JAMES K. HICKMAN

4301 Anchor Plaza Parkway

Suite 300

Tampa, FL 33634

(813) 289-0700

(813) 289-9435 Facsimile

FBN: 0893020/SPN: 01362264

Attorneys for Defendants, W.R. GRACE &

全

CO. and THOMAS J. MOMMAERTS

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been served by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to: Eduardo R. Latour, 135 East Lemon Street, Tarpon Spring, FL 34689; and by U.S. Mail to Christian B. Anouge, II, Esq., P.O. Box 41100, St. Petersburg, FL 33743, this 6 day of June, 2002.

TANEGE HOUNGAN

JAMES K. HICKMAN

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 16724-2 Filed 08/31/07 Page 4 of 11

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	
W.R. GRACE & CO., et al.,	Chapter 11
Debtors.	Case No. 01-01139 (JKF) (Jointly Administered)
W.R. GRACE & CO., ) et al., )	
Plaintiffs, )	
-against-	Adversary No. A-01-771
MARGARET CHAKARIAN, et al. ) and JOHN DOES 1-1000, )	
Defendants. )	

## ORDER GRANTING MODIFIED PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

This matter came on for hearing on January 3, 2002 on the motion of W.R. Grace & Co. and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Debtors"), to modify the Preliminary Injunction staying all asbestos-related and fraudulent transfer claims against Affiliated Entities.

On June 21, 2001, the Debtors, as plaintiffs in this adversary proceeding, filed a Amended Verified Complaint seeking entry of a preliminary injunction pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and 28 U.S.C. § 1651 enjoining the commencement and/or further prosecution of the Actions against Affiliated Entities, as defined below.

A temporary restraining order ("TRO") was entered on April 2, 2001 enjoining
the commencement or prosecution of Asbestos Actions against the Affiliated Entities. On April
12, 2001, the Court extended the TRO until April 24, 2001. On April 18, 2001, the Court set this

matter for a preliminary injunction hearing on May 3, 2001, and entered a preliminary injunction barring the commencement or prosecution of Asbestos Actions against the Affiliated Entities until that time. On May 3, 2001, the Court granted Debtors' motion for a preliminary injunction, as modified in their reply brief, and issued a preliminary injunction barring the prosecution of currently pending asbestos-related actions and fraudulent transfer actions against certain affiliates of Debtors. Debtors have now moved to modify that preliminary injunction to add several additional claims and parties and to reinstate the bar against the commencement of new Actions against Affiliated Entities.

The following terms are defined for purposes of this Order:

- 1. "Fresenius" means National Medical Care, Inc.; Fresenius A.G.; Fresenius, U.S.A., Inc.; Fresenius Medical Care A.G.; and Fresenius National Medical Care Holdings, Inc.
- 2. "Sealed Air" means Sealed Air Corporation, Sealed Air Corporation (US), Cryovac, Inc., and Sealed Air (Canada) Inc.
- 3. "Merrill Lynch" means Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. ("Merrill Lynch").
  - 4. "CSFB" means Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation.

Not CALA

- for purposes of these chapter 11 cases, as well as present and former officers, directors and

  employees of Debtors. The Non-Debtor Affiliates are listed in Exhibit A hereto.
- 6. "Insurance Carriers" means Maryland Casualty Company, Continental Casualty Company, their affiliates and any other insurer of the Debtors under the Insurance Policies as

outlined on Exhibit B hereto who has been named as a defendant in actions pending in direct action states.

- 7. "Robinson" means Robinson Insulation, Co.
- 8. "Affiliated Entities" means Fresenius, Sealed Air, Merrill Lynch, CSFB,
  Insurance Carriers, Robinson and the Non-Debtor Affiliates. The Affiliated Entities are listed in
  Exhibit A.
- 9. The "Insurance Policies" are those insurance policies that may provide coverage for asbestos-related claims asserted against the Debtors. The Insurance Policies include those listed in Exhibit B.
- 10. The "Actions" means pending actions and actions that have not been filed or are not pending as of the date of entry of this Order as follows:
  - a) against Affiliated Entities that arise from alleged exposure to asbestos indirectly or directly allegedly caused by Debtors; or Not hore
  - b) for which there may be coverage under the Insurance Policies (or Not hop
  - c) against Affiliated Entities alleging fraudulent transfer or fraudulent conveyance claims;
  - d) against Insurance Carriers alleging coverage for asbestos-related liabilities;
    or Not App
  - e) against current or former officers, directors or employees of Debtors that

arise out of such officer's, employee's or director's employment or relationship with

Debtors except the action entitled Robert Locke v. W. R. Grace and Robert Bettacchi, No.

99-2530 (Mass. Sup. Ct., Middlesex Cty) wherein the Debtors request for an injunction is continued to January 29, 2002 at 1:00 p.m. for further hearing.

The definition of "Actions" does not include the commencement or continuation of an action or proceeding by a governmental unit to enforce such governmental unit's police and regulatory power, including the enforcement of a judgment other than a money judgment, obtained in an action or proceeding by the governmental unit to enforce such governmental unit's police or regulatory power, as outlined in section 362(b)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

In support of this Order, the Court finds that:

- 1. Without the injunctive relief sought, the Debtors would suffer the risk of irreparable harm, including potential diminution of estate property and
- 2. The injunctive relief requested is in the best interests of the Debtors and their estates, creditors and other parties in interest;
  - 3. This proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(a); and
- 4. This Order is without prejudice to the Debtors' or others' rights to seek relief pursuant to the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362.

After due deliberation and cause appearing therefore, accordingly:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the prosecution of all of the following actions are stayed and enjoined pending a final judgment in this adversary proceeding or further order of this Court:

a. all Actions as defined above. Any additional Actions that are filed and served upon Affiliated Entities are, upon completion of service, stayed and enjoined pending a final judgment in this adversary proceeding or further order of this Court;

- b. the action entitled <u>TIG Ins. Co. v. Smolker</u>, No. BC 173952 (Los Angeles County Superior Court) and all related appeals pending in the California Court of Appeals, Second Appellate District, consolidated as No. B 138229, in their entirety;
- c. the State of Michigan, Dept. of Corrections' (the "State") third party action against Grace Dearborn Company and Betzdearborn, Inc. in the case entitled Ruppel K. Perry v. State of Michigan, Dept. of Corrections v. Grace Dearborn Company, predecessor company of Betzdearborn, Inc., No. 95 15855 CM (Mich. Ct. Claims), but the Plaintiff, Ruppel K. Perry is not stayed and enjoined from proceeding with his Complaint against the State;
- d. the action entitled Ellison v. FPC Disposal, Inc., No. CJ-99-151-01, (Okla. Dist. Ct., Canadian Cty.) as to Samson Investment Company, Samson Hydrocarbons Co. and their subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Samson entities") unless plaintiff Ellison identifies in writing to counsel for the Debtors any Samson affiliate not entitled to indemnification by the Debtors and counsel for the Debtors, within 20 days of such notification does not disagree with Ellison. In the event the Debtors disagree with Ellison's identification of a Samson affiliate that is not entitled to indemnification by the Debtors, Ellison shall only proceed against such Samson affiliate by further order of this Court after notice and a hearing;
- e. the action entitled Exxon Corp. v. Samson Hydrocarbons Co., No. CJ-99-390, (Okla. Dist. Ct., Creek Cty.) as to the Samson entities and plaintiff Exxon's Motion for Summary Judgment in this adversary proceeding (Docket No. 72) shall be continued for hearing to January 29, 2002 at 1:00 p.m; and

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 16724-2 Filed 08/31/07 Page 9 of 11

f. the action entitled <u>Youpee v. Samson Hydrocarbons Co.</u>, No. CV-98-108-BLD-JDS (D. Mont.) which has been conditionally settled in the Montana District Court and is thus stayed and enjoined herein as to the Samson entities only in the event that the conditions to the settlement are not met and the action is not dismissed by the Montana District Court as to the Samson entities.

Dated: January <u>22</u>, 2002

THE HONORABLE JUDITH K. FITZGERALD UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

#### EXHIBIT A

### AFFILIATED ENTITIES

#### 1. Fresenius Entities.

- a) National Medical Care, Inc.
- b) Fresenius A.G.
- c) Fresenius, U.S.A., Inc.
- d) Fresenius Medical Care, Inc.
- e) Fresenius National Medical Care, Inc.

#### 2. Sealed Air Entities.

- a) Sealed Air Corporation
- b) Sealed Air Corporation (US)
- c) Cryovac, Inc.
- d) Sealed Air (Canada) Inc.

#### 3. Other Abner Defendants

- a) Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.
- b) Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation

#### 4. Insurance Carriers

- a) Maryland Casualty Company
- b) Continental Casualty Company
- c) Affiliates of Maryland Casualty Co. and Continental Casualty Co.
- d) Other insurers of the Debtors under the Insurance Policies who have been named as defendants in actions pending in direct action states.

## 5. Robinson Insulation, Co.

#### 6. Non-Debtor Affiliates of W.R. Grace & Co.

- a) Advanced Refining Technologies LLC
- b) Advanced Refining Technologies LP
- c) Advanced Refining Technologies Management, Inc.
- d) Carbon Dioxide Slurry Systems LP
- e) Construction Products Dubai, Inc.
- f) Grace Asia Pacific, Inc.
- g) Grace Chemicals, Inc.
- h) Grace Collections, Inc.
- i) Grace Germany Holdings, Inc.
- j) Grace Foundation Inc.

- k) Grace Management Services, Inc.
- l) Grace Offshore Turnkey
- m) Grace Receivables Purchasing, Inc.
- n) Ichiban Chemical Co., Inc.
- o) Grace Canada, Inc.
- p) Debtors current and former officers, directors and employees